

# THE FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR CONSTRUCTION PLAN OF FERRO-NICKEL (Fe-Ni) SMELTER PLANT AT SOUTH KONAWE REGENCY, SOUTH EAST SULAWESI

## ANALISIS KELAYAKAN FINANSIAL RENCANA PEMBANGUNAN PABRIK PELEBURAN FERRO-NIKEL (Fe-Ni) DI KABUPATEN KONAWE SELATAN, SULAWESI TENGGARA

HARTA HARYADI

R & D Centre for Mineral and Coal Technology  
Jalan Jenderal Sudirman 623 Bandung 40211  
Ph. (+6222) 6030483, Fax. (+6222) 6003373  
e-mail: hartah@tekmira.esdm.go.id

### ABSTRACT

The objective of the study is to comprehend the feasibility for construction plan of smelter plant to process the nickel laterite into ferro-nickel (Fe-Ni). PT. Macika Mineral Industries (PT. MMI), located at Lolowua village South Konawe, Southeast Sulawesi will implement such a plan Law No. 4 of 2009 on Mineral and Coal Mining obliges the mining investors to process the minerals into semi-finished or finished products in the domestic country before selling them abroad. The raw materials for PT. MMI smelter plant will be supplied by PT. Macika Mada Madana (PT. MMM) as one of PT. MMI group members PT. MMI is the holder of Production Operation Mining Permit that covers an area of 705 Ha and is located in Watudemba village, Palangga District and Keono Village, South Palangga District, South Konawe Regency. The mining area of PT. MMI is located 7 km from the PT. MMM planned plant. The total Ni reserve owned by PT. MMM is 18,930,700 tons. Of 18,930,700 ton reserves; 4,390,645 tons belongs to the measured one performing the average grade of nickel and iron around 1.97 and 23.14% respectively while around 14,540,055 tons that contain the average grade of nickel and iron about 1.92 and 23.14% respectively goes to the inferred reserve. PT. MMI requires 960,000 tons per year of Ni raw material it means that PT. MMM can supply the processing plant up to 20 years. Net present value (NPV), internal rate of return (IRR), return on investment (ROI), payback period (PBP), benefit cost ratio (B / CR), and breakeven point (BEP) are the criteria for evaluating the financial need of PT. MMI. The results showed that the NPV was about US\$ 726,883,479 while the IRR and the ROI were around 18.00% and 212.90% respectively. Calculating the PBP and the B/C achieved 6.19 years and 3.21 correspondingly. The reached BEP was US\$ 754 per ton for the production of 50,504 tons. The analysis showed that the construction of ferro-nickel (Fe-Ni) plant by PT. MMI was financially acceptable to be. However, using several variable measurements in analyzing the sensitivity of the business, namely by the selling price of ferro-nickel is assumed to be reduced by 5% and production cost is increased by 5%, the plan is not sensitive to decreasing selling price and increasing production cost.

Keywords: financial analysis, feasibility, processing, smelting, ferronickel, value added

### ABSTRAK

Tujuan penelitian adalah untuk mengetahui kelayakan usaha rencana pembangunan pabrik peleburan bijih nikel laterit menjadi ferro-nikel (Fe-Ni) yang akan dilaksanakan oleh PT. Macika Mineral Industries (PT. MMI), yang berlokasi di Desa Lolowua, Konawe Selatan, Sulawesi Tenggara. Undang-Undang Nomor 4 Tahun 2009 tentang Pertambangan Mineral dan Batubara mewajibkan investor pertambangan untuk mengolah mineral menjadi produk setengah jadi atau produk jadi di dalam negeri sebelum dijual ke luar negeri. Bahan baku bijih nikel untuk pabrik peleburan PT. MMI ini akan dipasok oleh PT. Macika Mada Madana (PT. MMM) yang merupakan grup PT. MMI selaku pemegang IUP Operasi Produksi seluas

705 ha, di Desa Watudemba, Kecamatan Palangga dan Desa Keono, Kecamatan Palangga Selatan, Kabupaten Konawe Selatan. Jarak lokasi IUP adalah 7 km dari rencana lokasi pabrik. PT.MMM. Sesuai hasil eksplorasi, total cadangan yang dimiliki oleh PT. MMM adalah 18.930.700 ton terdiri atas cadangan terukur sebesar 4.390.645 ton dengan kadar rata-rata Ni 1,97% dan Fe 23,14% dan cadangan terkira 14.540.055 ton dengan kadar rata-rata Ni 1,92% dan Fe 24,17%. Kebutuhan bahan baku PT. MMI adalah 960.000 ton per tahun sehingga PT. MMM dapat memasok pabrik pengolahan hingga 20 tahun. Penilaian analisis finansial menggunakan kriteria net present value (NPP), internal rate of return (IRR), return on investmet (ROI), payback period (PBP), benefit cost ratio (B/C R), serta breakeven point (BEP), diperoleh nilai NPV US\$ 726.883.479, IRR 18%, ROI 212,90%, PBP 6,19 tahun, B/C sebesar 3,21 dan BEP untuk harga sebesar US\$ 754 per ton dan produksi 50.504 ton. Penilaian analisis menunjukkan pembangunan pabrik komersial peleburan ferro-nikel (Fe-Ni) yang akan dibangun PT. MMI secara finansial layak dijalankan dan proyek dapat diterima. Namun menggunakan beberapa variabel pengukuran dalam menganalisis sensitivitas usaha, yaitu harga jual ferro-nikel (Fe-Ni) diasumsikan diturunkan sebesar 5% dan biaya produksi dinaikan sebesar 5%, rencana pembangunan pabrik peleburan ini tidak sensitif terhadap penurunan harga jual dan peningkatan biaya produksi.

*Kata kunci: analisis finansial, kelayakan, pengolahan, peleburan, ferronikel, nilai tambah*

## INTRODUCTION

South Konawe retains a significant nickel ore resource. However, the resources have not yet provided an optimal economic benefits as the material is sold in the raw material (Permana, 2010). Based on the data from Mining Office of Energy and Mineral Resources South East Sulawesi (Dinas Pertambangan Provinsi Sulawesi Tenggara, 2015), the nickel ores at Southeast province spread into 313.79 Ha performing the resource of 97.40 billion tons (Table 1). Referring to the functions of forest resources, the status of nickel areas at Southeast Sulawesi can be divided into protected and cultivation areas, namely with wide 152.20 thousand and 161.59 thousand hectares respectively.

In line with the national policy mandated by Law No. 4 Year 2009 on Mineral and Coal, and is regulated by the Ministry of EMR Regulation No. 8 in 2015 that obliges all mining permit holders to process and purify the mining products in the country prior to exporting it (Kementerian Perdagangan, 2013). PT. MMI plans to construct a ferro-nickel (Fe-Ni) processing plant in South Konawe at which the laterite ore is available in such the district. The plant construction is a response of PT. MMI to the government policy in terms of a acquiring the approval to export the nickel in which its grade corresponds to the MEMR Regulation No. 8 year 2015.

Financial analysis needs to be conducted prior to constructing the plant, to determine

whether such the plant is feasible or not as well as to find out its benefits and investment capabilities in providing profits to the company. The disconnected link of the nickel value added still weakens the domestic industry-user due to the raw material needs to be imported while the Indonesian nickel resources is significant. The central and the local governments look forward that PT MMI constructs a benefit nickel processing and refining plant at South Konawe Regency. Criteria to evaluate that the plant construction is feasible or not includes net present value (NPV), internal rate of return (IRR), return on investment (ROI), payback period (PBP), benefit cost ratio (B/C R) and breakeven point (BEP).

PT.MMI is located in the Lolowua village, Southeast Sulawesi. The plant construction is conducted by Brill Mineral Industries China (BMIC). As the owner of the technology, BMIC will also operate the plant along with PT. MMI. Location of PT MMI is shown in Figure 1.

The raw material for smelter plant comes from PT. MMM as the holder of the Production Operating Mining Permit possessing of 705 Ha and is located in Watudemba village of Palangga District and Keono Village of South Palangga District, South Konawe Regency. The location for Fe-Ni smelter of PT. MMI is planed near the location of PT. MMM mining area. The distance is more or less 7 km and can be reached from Kendari within  $\pm$  2.5 hours.



fourth layer is the weathered and unweathered bedrocks. An Mg-rich layer or known as saprolite -  $[Mg_3Si_2O_5(OH)]$  occurs between the saprolite and limonite. Such a layer contains 10-20% Mg. Musnajam (2012), stated that the nickel laterite belongs to the laterite from ultramafic rock weathering either serpentine or peridotite that are rich in olivine and pyroxene.

Processing the nickel laterite to be the ferronickel aims to separate the valuable minerals from its impurities to get the high value concentrates and worthless tailings (Subagja, Prasetyo and Mayangsari, 2016). The used method by PT. MMI will be smelting method to yield a ferronickel containing 10-12% Ni. According to Pratama, Sibarani and Puspandaru (2011), there are two types of nickel ores, namely sulfide and oxide ores. The sulfide one is mostly used to be processed as processing the oxide one needs a high energy. The low grade of Ni (1 – 2%) is the cause.

Selecting smelting methods by PT. MMI is based on the nickel ore characteristics. The plant location is near the PT. MMM mining operations. Charcoal usage as the energy to process the ores due to relatively low for investment and production costs. The product is a ferronickel that agrees with the criteria of MEMR Regulation No.8/2015, namely standard-export ferronickel with

grade of  $\geq 10\%$  Ni. Mini blast furnace MBF), a technology that is employed by PT. MMI and will be implemented by Brill-China Mineral Industries (BMI). This method is carried out in the mini hot blast furnace or MBF. The produced ferronickel contains 10-12% Ni. A type of a blast furnace using a charcoal as a fuel and a reductor in the medium and small sizes has been operated in Brazil since several decades. The feeds put into the blast furnace include the iron ore or its agglomerated form, charcoal or cokes and flux materials. The air in the stove is heated at  $7.000^{\circ}C$ . The required energy comes from carbon material. Such the materials are also consumed in the reduction process to a perfect condition performing by small FeO content in the slag or even no FeO anymore (Kartaman, Al Hasa and Paid, 2013). PT. MMM supplies the ore from its mine area and then is sent to the MBF location, 7 km from the mine mouth. Due to its high water content, the ores are then dried and heated prior to going to the MBF. The iron- and nickel oxides are reduced to be the iron-nickel alloy slag. The slag is assumed to contain the Ni and the S around 9-10% and 7-9% respectively. Processing the -10 mm-slag requires expensive equipment and its result is insignificant. This causes a high cost of Ni processing. As a result, PT MMI sells the slag to other industries that are interested in processing such a slag.

Table 1. Potency of nickel resources at Southeast Sulawesi

| No. | Regencies              | Resources (Tons)  | Total Production in Period 2008 – 2013 (Tons) | Remaining Resources (Tons) | Element content of the sample (%) |
|-----|------------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1   | North Konawe           | 46,007,440,652.72 | 16,249,021.00                                 | 45,991,191,631.72          | Ni=1.91-2.4%;<br>Fe=14.07-17.47%  |
| 2   | Bombana                | 28,200,014,800.00 | 5,335,801.00                                  | 28,194,678,999.00          | -                                 |
| 3   | Kolaka                 | 12,819,244,028.00 | 16,071,935.90                                 | 12,803,172,092.10          | Ni=2.17%; Fe=34%                  |
| 4   | South Konawe           | 4,348,838,160.00  | 8,007,223.00                                  | 4,340,830,937.00           | Ni=2.11-2.13%;<br>Fe=21.96-23.03% |
| 5   | North Kolaka           | 2,763,796,196.00  | 6,654,418.29                                  | 2,757,141,777.71           | Ni=1.76-1.9%;<br>Fe=18.1-20.18%   |
| 6   | Buton dan Kota Bau-Bau | 1,676,332,000.00  | 2,035,966.00                                  | 1,674,296,034.00           | Ni=2-2.07%;<br>Fe=20.10-34%       |
| 7   | Konawe                 | 1,585,927,189.00  | 40,000.00                                     | 1,585,887,189.00           | Ni=1.8-2%;<br>Fe=18.03-16.25%     |
| 8   | Cross district         |                   | 2,568,344.00                                  |                            | -                                 |
|     | Total                  | 97,401,593,025.72 | 56,962,709.19                                 | 97,344,630,316.53          | -                                 |

Source: - Department of Energy and Mineral Mining of Southeast Sulawesi (Dinas Pertambangan Provinsi Sulawesi Tenggara, 2015).  
- Center for Geological Resources (Pusat Sumber Daya Geologi, 2014).

PT MMI will apply the technology from China when processing the nickel ores. China has succeeded to develop and produce the nickel pig iron (NPI) and the ferronickel (Fe-Ni) in recent years. The goal is to open the market with China as a partner and also as the owner of the technology. Accordingly; for the time being, marketing of such products will go to China and its partner.

Based on the research by Haryadi in 2016, constructing the nickel processing and refining plants will provide a positive economic impacts on the economy of Southeast Sulawesi. However, constructing plants in such a region needs to conduct a financial analysis to determining feasible or not to build the plants (Haryadi, 2013). The purpose to analyze the financial aspects of the feasibility study for constructing ferronickel smelting plant plan of PT. MMI is to determine the investment plan by comparing the expenditure and the revenue, such as fund availability, capital cost, and project ability to repay the funds on specified time (Afandi, 2014). The success of the project is the most important goals for the investors, contractors, and especially the product users (Honesti and Djali, 2012). The parameters used for assessing the financial analysis includes net present value (NPV), internal rate of return (IRR), return on investment (ROI), payback period (PBP), benefit cost ratio (B / CR) and breakeven point (BEP), (Fahmi, 2011).

A feasible or not feasible project can be evaluated from the efficiency of the usage fees as well as the ratio between the total revenue and the total costs (Khumairoh, 2013). If the project is worth to be conducted, it should refer to  $BCR > 1$ ;  $IRR >$  the prevailing bank rate;  $PBP$  returns  $<$  the project life; labor productivity  $>$  the prevailing wage rate; income  $>$  the business expenses. The loss can be avoided if the company refers to those above items (Baroto and Ariani, 2010). According to (Ermiami, 2011), the most important thing is the product can meet the raw material requirements as needed by industrial users as well as the product can improve value-

added economy for the surrounding region (Rusdiana and Martono, 2014).

## METHODOLOGY

PT. Macika Mineral Industries provided the primary data to be analyzed. The supporting data were obtained from the Department of Mines and Energy of the Province of Southeast Sulawesi, the Regional Planning Agency of Southeast Sulawesi province, the Central Bureau of Statistics of Southeast Sulawesi province while the comparative data were derived from various journals, theses and proceedings. The primary data were analyzed using the parameters: NPV, IRR, ROI, PP, B/C, and BEP.

## RESULTS DAN DISCUSSION

The feasibility analysis was conducted only to financial aspects from PT. MMI. Early 2017, the company will develop two MBFs Each MBF retains a capacity of 80 m<sup>3</sup> respectively. The MBF products will be 54,000 tons ferronickel containing the grade of 10-12% Ni or averagely at 11.74% Ni. The use of soft coke for the energy at relatively cheap prices is expected to reduce the production costs. Such the energy costs is still the largest part of the overall production cost. The construction cost of 2 furnaces will spend US \$ 29,226,288 that is used for the development of production facilities, infrastructures and the purchase of machineries and equipment.

The required working capital is US \$ 5,494,893 that goes for the operational of the smelter plant at the first months of the activities. Such a working capital is needed for starting the production at a 60-% trial and run capacity, with the expectation that the next production can be financed by the result of ferronickel selling. Those included in the operational costs are the purchase cost for compensating the ore at all mining activities, the operating costs of PT MMI, the procurement costs for supporting materials and energy raw materials (Table 2).

Table 2. The working capital for producing the ferronickel using the operational cost for a 60-% early production of USD 5,494,893.00

| Item            | Required raw material (tons) | Price (US\$) | Amount (US\$)       |
|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Nickel ore      | 40,000                       | 34.92        | 1,396,800.00        |
| Coke            | 7,200                        | 420.63       | 3,028,536.00        |
| Lime            | 3,600                        | 50.79        | 182,844.00          |
| Stone           | 1,200                        | 10.32        | 12,384.00           |
| Anthracite      | 3,000                        | 158.73       | 476,190.00          |
| Fluorite        | 400                          | 126.98       | 50,792.00           |
|                 | Sub Total                    |              | 3,750,746.00        |
| Electricity     | 133                          | 35.70        | 4,760.00            |
| Water           | 18,000                       | 0.13         | 2,340.00            |
| Fuel and oil    | 118,173                      | 1.07         | 126,879.00          |
|                 | Sub Total                    |              | 133,979.00          |
| Management cost | 450                          | 28.57        | 12,857.00           |
| Workers Salary  | -                            | 200,510.57   | 200,511.00          |
| Sub Total       |                              |              | 213,367.00          |
| <b>TOTAL</b>    |                              |              | <b>5,494,893.00</b> |

In 2021, PT. MMI plans to construct 2 lines MBF (2 furnaces) that results in expending the investment cost of US\$ 28,632,645. The objective is to improve the production into 108,000 tons Fe-Ni. Thus, the total cost for the project is US\$ 63,632,645. The sources of funds includes 30% of equity, 70% of corporate loans from the bank and other investors. Such the investment cost applies the interest rate of 5.90% and will uses for

clearing the land, planning and developing the factory, civil works and installation construction for the local and imported mechanics, machine and laboratory instrument, constructing waste treatment facilities and building as well as general and administrative costs (Table 3). The project life is estimated for 20 years; it means that the ferronickel will begin to be produced in 2017 until 2036 (20 years).

Table 3. The total investment for ferronickel plant

| No. | ITEM   | Budget for 2 Lines Blast Furnace US\$ |
|-----|--|---------------------------------------|
|     | Engineering  |                                       |
|     | Reduction Smelting System                                |                                       |
|     | 80 m <sup>3</sup> Blast Furnace                          | 5,714,286                             |
|     | Vertical Sintering                                       | 3,682,540                             |
|     | Stand Sintering  | 3,809,524                             |
| 1.  | Lime Kiln  | 634,921                               |
|     | Environmental Production                                 | 761,905                               |
|     | Sintering refined product warehouse, 1500 m <sup>2</sup> | 1,428,571                             |
|     | Accessories material warehouse, 600 m <sup>2</sup>       | -                                     |
|     | Raw Material Workshop                                    | 1,142,857                             |
|     | Sub Total (1)  | 16,031,746                            |
|     | Auxiliaries for improvement                              |                                       |
|     | Crushing, Screening, MS Equipment                        | 253,968                               |
|     | Material Handling  | 253,968                               |
|     | Lab. Sample preparation Equipment                        | 95,238                                |
|     | Wire, Cables and Other                                   | 634,921                               |
| 2.  | Copper sleeve, Engine Oil, Hydraulic Oil                 | 126,984                               |
|     | Pump, valves, standard equipment                         | 190,476                               |
|     | High & Low Power Distribution Equipment                  | 952,381                               |
|     | Diesel Generator @ 1,500 Kw                              | 1,587,302                             |
|     | Gas Power Generator Equipment @ 3,000 Kw                 | 5,079,365                             |
|     | Sub Total (2)  | 9,174,603                             |

| No. | ITEM  | Budget for 2 Lines<br>Blast Furnace |
|-----|---|-------------------------------------|
|     | Others  |                                     |
|     | Land expenses                                 | 219,072                             |
|     | Construction management fee                   | 56,000                              |
|     | Join commissioning fee                        | 24,000                              |
|     | Office and living furniture                   | 11,000                              |
| 3.  | Staff training cost                           | 32,000                              |
|     | Project management fee                        | 32,000                              |
|     | Survey and design                             | 10,700                              |
|     | Infrastructure                                | 153,000                             |
|     | Heavy equipment for operation                 | 2,729,167                           |
|     | Vehicle for operation                         | 753,000                             |
|     | Sub Total (3)                                 | 4,019,930                           |
|     | Total Initial Investment Cost (1) + (2) + (3) | 29,226,288                          |
|     | Total Investment Cost Year 4 <sup>th</sup>    | 28,632,645                          |
|     | Project Total Investment Cost                 | 57,858,933                          |
|     | Working Capital                               | 5,494,893                           |
|     | TOTAL INVESMENT                               | 63,353,826                          |

The qualified manpower is recruited from either the local or other areas with their technical specifications related to smelter activities. The Palangga smelter plant retains 335 employees for serving two lines

MBF while 4 lines MBF need 555 employees that mostly come from the local area (Table 4). Production activities occurs 3 shifts per day.

Table 4. The quantity and position of the plant employees

| Position                  | Quantity | Class | Position                     | Quantity | Class |
|---------------------------|----------|-------|------------------------------|----------|-------|
| General Manager           | 1        | G     | Refining Plant               |          |       |
| Staff Functional          | 6        | G     | Manager Refining Plant       | 1        | F     |
| Safety Officer            | 1        | G     | Supervisor                   | 4        | E     |
| Safety Supervisor         | 4        | E     | De-s Operation               | 4        | D     |
| Environmental Supervisor  | 4        | GE    | De-s Operation               | 4        | C     |
|                           | 16       |       | De-s Operation               | 8        | B     |
| Ore Handling              |          |       | L/D Converter Operation      | 4        | C     |
| Manager Ore Handling      | 1        | F     | Slag Treatment               | 4        | B     |
| Supervisor                | 4        | E     | Overhead Crane               | 4        | C     |
| Data Treatment & Logistic | 1        | D     | Preheating Lodge             | 4        | C     |
| Maintenance               | 4        | D     | Brick Work                   | 4        | B     |
| Ass. Maintenance          | 4        | C     | Casting & Shot Making        | 4        | B     |
| Screening & Crushing      | 8        | C     | Ingot and Shot Finishing     | 4        | C     |
| Screening & Crushing      | 8        | B     |                              | 49       |       |
| Control Room of R/D       | 4        | C     | Transportation Of Raw & Slag |          |       |
| Rotary Dryer              | 4        | C     | Manager Transportation       | 1        | F     |
| Rotary Dryer              | 4        | B     | Supervisor                   | 4        | E     |
| Screening & Crushing      | 8        | C     | Forman                       | 4        | D     |
| Screening & Crushing      | 8        | B     | Bulldozer Operator           | 16       | C     |
| Mixing House              | 4        | C     | Excavator Operator           | 4        | C     |
| Mixing House              | 4        | B     | Dump truck Driver            | 16       | C     |
|                           | 66       |       | Pay Loader Operator          | 12       | C     |
| Calcining Plant           |          |       | Maintenance                  | 4        | D     |
| Manager Calcining Plant   | 1        | F     | Ass. Maintenance             | 8        | C     |
| Supervisor                | 4        | E     |                              | 69       |       |
| Calcining                 | 4        | D     | Human resources              |          |       |
| Dust Treatment            | 4        | C     | HR GA Manager                | 1        | F     |
| Dust Treatment            | 4        | B     | Administration               | 4        | E     |
| Rotary Kiln               | 4        | C     | Logistic                     | 2        | D     |
| Rotary Kiln               | 4        | B     | Driver                       | 6        | B     |

| Position             | Quantity | Class | Position               | Quantity | Class |
|----------------------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------|-------|
| Control Room         | 8        | C     | Security               | 24       | B     |
| Coal Firing Facility | 4        | C     | Office Boy             | 4        | A     |
| Coal Firing Facility | 4        | B     |                        | 41       |       |
| Maintenance          | 4        | C     | Finance                |          |       |
| Maintenance          | 4        | B     | Finance Manager        | 1        | F     |
|                      | 49       |       | Finance Administration | 4        | E     |
| Smelting Plant       |          |       | Storage                | 4        | D     |
| Supervisor           | 4        | E     |                        | 9        |       |
| Container Wagon      | 4        | C     | TOTAL 2 LINES MBF      | 335      |       |
| Overhead Crane       | 4        | C     | TOTAL 4 LINES MBF      | 555      |       |
| Control Room or E/F  | 4        | C     |                        |          |       |
| Tapping              | 4        | C     |                        |          |       |
| Cooling System       | 4        | C     |                        |          |       |
| Maintenance          | 4        | C     |                        |          |       |
| Maintenance          | 8        | B     |                        |          |       |
|                      | 36       |       |                        |          |       |

The exchange rate of the dollar in October 2016 during the financial feasibility analysis was 1 US \$ = IDR 13.100. The production cost for processing nickel ore into ferronickel is US \$ 81,462,249 (Table 5). The primary selling at this time is exporting the materials to China with the assumption that the LME price of the ferronickel is US \$ 1,613 per ton Fe-Ni with the conditions free on board (FOB) condition on the mother vessel. This

results in the sales revenue is amounted to US \$ 87,102,000 at the production rate of 54,000 tons of Fe-Ni and the amount of US \$ 174,204,000 at the production rate of 108,000 tons of Fe-Ni. Table 5 summarizes the all assumptions above while Table 6 shows the net cash flow of such a company. Calculation of all financial feasibility parameters for PT MMI net cash flow is shown in Table 7 and 8.

Table 5. Summary of assumptions

| No. | Objects                                      | Value      |
|-----|--|------------|
| 1   | Total Ferronickel production year 1-4 (ton)  | 54,000     |
| 2   | Total ferronickel production year 5-20 (ton) | 108,000    |
| 3   | Ferronickel sale price (US\$/ton)            | 1,613      |
| 4   | Investment year 1-4 (US\$)                   | 29,226,288 |
| 5   | Working capital (US\$)                       | 5,494,893  |
| 6   | Investment year 5-20 (US\$)                  | 28,632,645 |
| 7   | Production cost (US\$)                       | 81,462,249 |
| 8   | Age project (year)                           | 20         |
| 9   | Bank interest rates (%)                      | 15         |
| 10  | Tax profits (%)                              | 25         |

Table 6. Company net cash flows

| No. | Objects                                      | Unit | Value       |
|-----|--|------|-------------|
| 1   | Total investment                             | US\$ | 63,353,826  |
| 2   | Benefit (acquired the company from the sale) |      |             |
|     | Year 1- 4 = 54,000 tons X 1,613              | US\$ | 87,102,000  |
|     | Year 5-20 = 108,000 X 1,613                  | US\$ | 174,204,000 |
|     | Total Benefit                                | US\$ | 261,306,000 |
| 3   | Production cost                              | US\$ | 81,462,249  |
| 4   | Income                                       | US\$ | 179,843,751 |
| 5   | Tax profits 25%                              | US\$ | 44,960,938  |
|     | Net cash flows                               | US\$ | 134,882.813 |

Table 7. Calculation result of financial feasibility study of PT. MMI

| No. | Parameters   | Analysis Results | Feasible or not |
|-----|--|------------------|-----------------|
| 1   | Net Cash Flow (US\$)   | 134,882,813      | Feasible        |
| 2   | Net Present Value – NPV (US\$)                                   | 726,883,479      | Feasible        |
| 3   | Internal Rate of Return – IRR (%)                                | 18.00            | Feasible        |
| 4   | Rate Of Investment - ROI (%)                                     | 212.90           | Feasible        |
| 5   | Pay Back Period – PBP (year)                                     | 6.19             | Feasible        |
| 6   | Benefit Cost Ratio - B/C R (multiple)<br>Break Event Point (BEP) | 3.21             | Feasible        |
| 7   | Sale price (US\$)<br>Production (Ton)                            | 754<br>50,504    | Feasible        |

Table 8. Calculation result of financial feasibility study of PT. MMI

| Year                             | Investment | Cash flows / Profit | DF Bank 15% | PV Cash flows / NPV PV Profit | DF PV 18% | PV Cash flows NPV PV Profit |
|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| 0                                | 63,353,826 |                     |             |                               |           |                             |
| 1                                |            | 71,528,987          | 0.8695      | 62,194,454                    | 0.84745   | 114,306,440                 |
| 2                                |            | 134,882,813         | 0.7561      | 101,984,895                   | 0.71818   | 96,870,139                  |
| 3                                |            | 134,882,813         | 0.6575      | 88,685,450                    | 0.60863   | 82,093,726                  |
| 4                                |            | 134,882,813         | 0.5717      | 77,112,504                    | 0.51578   | 69,569,857                  |
| 5                                |            | 134,882,813         | 0.4971      | 67,050,246                    | 0.43710   | 58,957,278                  |
| 6                                |            | 134,882,813         | 0.4323      | 58,309,840                    | 0.37043   | 49,964,640                  |
| 7                                |            | 134,882,813         | 0.3759      | 50,702,449                    | 0.31392   | 42,342,413                  |
| 8                                |            | 134,882,813         | 0.3269      | 44,093,192                    | 0.26603   | 35,882,875                  |
| 9                                |            | 134,882,813         | 0.2842      | 38,333,695                    | 0.22545   | 30,409,330                  |
| 10                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.2471      | 33,329,543                    | 0.19106   | 25,770,710                  |
| 11                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.2149      | 28,986,317                    | 0.16191   | 21,838,876                  |
| 12                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.1869      | 25,209,598                    | 0.13721   | 18,507,271                  |
| 13                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.1625      | 21,918,457                    | 0.11628   | 15,684,173                  |
| 14                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.1413      | 19,058,941                    | 0.09854   | 13,291,352                  |
| 15                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.1228      | 16,563,609                    | 0.08510   | 11,478,527                  |
| 16                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.1068      | 14,405,484                    | 0.07077   | 9,545,657                   |
| 17                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.0929      | 12,530,613                    | 0.06997   | 9,437,750                   |
| 18                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.0808      | 10,898,531                    | 0.05083   | 6,856,093                   |
| 19                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.0702      | 9,468,773                     | 0.05307   | 7,158,231                   |
| 20                               |            | 134,882,813         | 0.0611      | 8,241,340                     | 0.04650   | 6,272,051                   |
| Total PV cash flows for 20 years |            |                     | 6.1974      | 726,883,479                   | 5.3842    | 726,237,391                 |

Using the same measurement variables with the financial analysis of PT. MMI for analyzing the business sensitivity, namely the selling price of ferro-nickel (Fe-Ni) is assumed to be downgraded to 5% (Table 9) and the production costs is increased to 5% (Table 10), the plan for constructing smelter plant is not sensitive to the decrease of

selling prices and the increase of production costs. By both the sensitivity calculation of nickel laterite smelter plant into ferronickel, it is going to be commercialized by PT.MMI although the sale price is lowered by 5% or the cost of production increased by 5%. It is still well worth to run.

Table 9 . Financial feasibility analysis for PT. MMI if the selling price is decreased by 5%.

| No. | Parameters                        | Analysis results | Feasible or not |
|-----|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1   | Net Cash Flow (US\$)              | 125,041,313      | Feasible        |
| 2   | Net Present Value – NPV (US\$)    | 673,847,637      | Feasible        |
| 3   | Internal Rate of Return – IRR (%) | 18.00            | Feasible        |
| 4   | Rate of Investment – ROI (%)      | 197.37           | Feasible        |

| No. | Parameters                            | Analysis results | Feasible or not |
|-----|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 5   | Pay Back Period – PBP (year)          | 6.19             | Feasible        |
| 6   | Benefit Cost Ratio - B/C R (multiple) | 3.05             | Feasible        |
| 7   | Break Event Point (BEP)               |                  | Feasible        |
|     | Sale price (US\$)                     | 754              |                 |
|     | Production (Ton)                      | 53,174           |                 |

Table 10. Financial feasibility analysis for PT. MMI if production cost is increased by 5%.

| No. | Parameters                            | Analysis results | Feasible or not |
|-----|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1   | Net Cash Flow (US\$)                  | 131,827,979      | Feasible        |
| 2   | Net Present Value – NPV (US\$)        | 710,420,980      | Feasible        |
| 3   | Internal Rate of Return – IRR (%)     | 18.00            | Feasible        |
| 4   | Rate of Investment – ROI (%)          | 208.08           | Feasible        |
| 5   | Pay Back Period – PBP (year)          | 6.19             | Feasible        |
| 6   | Benefit Cost Ratio - B/C R (multiple) | 3.05             | Feasible        |
| 7   | Break Event Point (BEP)               |                  | Feasible        |
|     | Sale price (US\$)                     | 792              |                 |
|     | Production (Ton)                      | 53,029           |                 |

## CONCLUSIONS

The ferronickel smelting plant of PT. Macika Mineral Industries is feasible to be built. Economic evolution using project evaluation method with the assumption of 15% interest rate and project life of 20 years shows that:

- the obtained net cash flow is US \$ 134,882,813. Such an amount is higher than that of the production cost incurred by the company;
- a positive net cash flow of US \$ 726,883,479 is obtained at NPV of 15% DF;
- an 18-% DCF ROR/IRR is obtained from 30-% equity financing and 70-% loan capital. The loan capital is higher than the 15-% DF;
- PBP of 6.19 years results in the investment return of the profits is faster than that of the 20-year project life;
- the minimum 15% or BEP on DCF ROR/IRR occurs at the fixed selling price and result in the condition of BEP production levels around 50.504 tons, while the selling price of BEP is US \$ 754 / ton.

The sensitivity calculation when the sale price is lowered by 5% show that the company is still worth to be developed. The economic calculations using the project evaluation method and the assumption of sale price lowered by 5% is as follows:

- a. the obtained net cash flow is US \$ 125,041,313. This number is higher than that of the production cost;

- b. the NPV at 15% DF provided a positive net cash flow around US\$ 673,847,637;
- c. the 30-% equity and the 70-% loan capital yield 18% of DCF ROR/IRR. Such a figure is higher than that of the 15-% expected DCF;
- d. the PBP is 6.19 years to get faster the investment return from the achieved profits than the 20-year project life.
- e. the minimum 15% BEP on DCF ROR / IRR occurs when the selling price is fixed, the BEP condition at sale production level is 53,174 tons, while the BEP selling price is US\$ 754.00 / ton.

Raising the production cost to 5% shows that, the company is still worth to be implemented. It indicates that:

- a. the obtained net cash flow is US\$ 131,827,979. Such a figure is higher than that of the production cost;
- b. the positive net cash flow of US \$ 710,420,980 is obtained at NPV of 15-% DF;
- c. the 30-% equity and the 70-% loan capital yield 18% of DCF ROR/IRR. Such a figure is higher than that of the 15-% expected DCF;
- d. the PBP is 6.19 years to get faster the investment return from get faster profits than from the achieved the project's life 20-year;
- e. the minimum 15-% BEP on DCF ROR / IRR occurs when the selling

price is fixed, then the BEP condition is suggest production level is 53,029 tons, while the BEP selling price is US\$ 792.00 / ton.

## SUGGESTIONS

1. PT. MMI is suggested to cooperate with the local entrepreneurs in South Konawe in conducting the project. The objective is to transfer the technology in the mining sector, to open a job opportunity for the local community, to follow the standard procedure for controlling and managing the environment around the mine, so the presence of PT. MMI will benefit not only for the company, but also for South Konawe society.
2. The company should manage the wastes from its activity wisely to avoid the negative environmental impact. As a result, the company should continuously monitor any changes of the environment either, physical, chemical, biological and socio-economic culture.
3. The local governments should support the company activities by building the necessary infrastructure such as electric energy, roads, bridges ports, and various regional regulation as well as the ease of getting permit to facilitate and accelerate the smelting phase of nickel ore during milling operations commence.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the entire Board of Directors of PT. Macika Mineral Industries, Department of Mines and Energy of Southeast Sulawesi, the Regional Planning Agency of Southeast Sulawesi, the Central Bureau of Statistics of Southeast Sulawesi for their assistance to provide data and information

## REFERENCES

Afandi, P. (2014) "Analisis kelayakan usaha unit pemecah batu dengan pendekatan 'capital budgeting' pada CV Eka Mandiri Randu Acir Salatiga," *Jurnal STIE Semarang*, 6(1), pp. 1–17. Available at: <http://download.portalgaruda.org/article.php?article=200101&val=6603&title=ANALISIS KELAYAKAN USAHA UNIT PEMECAH BATU DENGAN PENDAKATAN 'CAPITAL BUDGETING' PADA CV EKA MANDIRI RANDU ACIR SALATIGA>.

- Astuti, W., Zulhan, Z., Shofi, A., Isnugroho, K., Nurjaman, F. and Prasetyo, E. (2012) "Pembuatan Nickel Pig Iron (NPI) Dari Biji Nikel Laterit Indonesia Menggunakan Mini Blast Furnace," in *Seminar Insentif Riset S/Nas*. Jakarta: Asdep Relevansi Program Riptek, p. MT66-MT71. Available at: [http://biofarmaka.ipb.ac.id/biofarmaka/2013/PIRS2012-file-MT-TeX\\_11.pdf](http://biofarmaka.ipb.ac.id/biofarmaka/2013/PIRS2012-file-MT-TeX_11.pdf).
- Badan Pusat Statistik Provinsi Sulawesi Tenggara (2014) *Sulawesi Tenggara Dalam Angka 2014*. Kendari. Available at: <https://www.scribd.com/doc/275895238/Sulawesi-Tenggara-Dalam-Angka-2014>.
- Baroto and Ariani, K. T. (2010) "Analisa kelayakan usaha pengolahan ubi kayu menjadi selondok Desa Banjariarjo, Kecamatan Kalibawang, Kabupaten Kulon Progo," *Jurnal Ilmu-ilmu Pertanian*, 6(1), pp. 69–78.
- Dinas Pertambangan Provinsi Sulawesi Tenggara (2015) *Pertambangan Propinsi Sulawesi Tenggara*. Kendari.
- Ermiami (2011) "Analisa kelayakan, kendala pengembangan usahatani dan solusi diversifikasi produk akhir temulawak di Kabupaten Bogor (studi kasus Kecamatan Cileungsi)," *Buletin Penelitian Tanaman Rempah dan Obat*, 22(1), pp. 97–114. doi: 10.21082/bullitro.v22n1.2011.%25p.
- Fahmi, I. (2011) *Analisis laporan keuangan*. Bandung: CV. Alfabeta.
- Haryadi, H. (2013) "Analisis kelayakan finansial pembangunan pabrik sga (smelter grade alumina) Mempawah dengan proses Bayer," *Jurnal Teknologi Mineral dan Batubara*, 9(2), pp. 74–87.
- Haryadi, H. (2016) "Dampak pembangunan smelter nikel terhadap perekonomian daerah Provinsi Sulawesi Tenggara," *Buletin Sumber Daya Geologi*, 11(1), pp. 25–39. Available at: [http://buletinsdg.geologi.esdm.go.id/index.php/bsdg/article/view/BSDG\\_Vol\\_11\\_No\\_Artikel\\_3/pdf](http://buletinsdg.geologi.esdm.go.id/index.php/bsdg/article/view/BSDG_Vol_11_No_Artikel_3/pdf).

- Honesti, L. and Djali, N. (2012) "Analisis ekonomi dan finansial pengembangan bandar udara internasional Minangkabau (BIM) di Sumatera Barat," *Jurnal Momentum*, 13(2), pp. 50–59. Available at: <https://ejournal.itp.ac.id/index.php/momentum/article/view/41/38>.
- Kartaman, M., Al Hasa, M. H. and Paid, A. (2013) "Pengaruh temperatur terhadap sifat bahan paduan aluminium fero nikel," *Jurnal Urania*, 19(2), pp. 109–118. doi: 10.17146/urania.2013.19.2.1785.
- Kementerian Perdagangan (2013) *Perdagangan ekspor dan impor Indonesia*. Jakarta.
- Khumairoh, N. S. (2013) "Analisa investasi dengan feasibility study untuk meningkatkan kecerdasan finansial pada budi daya ikan di Sidoarjo," *DiE Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi dan Manajemen*, 9(2), pp. 184–193. Available at: <http://jurnal.untagsby.ac.id/index.php/die/article/view/215/56>.
- Musnajam (2012) "Optimalisasi pemanfaatan bijih nikel kadar rendah dengan metode blending di PT. ANTAM Tbk. UBPN Sulawesi Tenggara," *Jurnal Teknologi Technoscientia*, 4(2), pp. 213–222. Available at: [http://technoscientia.akprind.ac.id/techno/files/full/vol4no2feb2012/Musnajam\\_213-222.pdf](http://technoscientia.akprind.ac.id/techno/files/full/vol4no2feb2012/Musnajam_213-222.pdf).
- Permana, D. (2010) "Dampak penerapan Undang-Undang No. 4 Tahun 2009 tentang pengembangan usaha pertambangan mineral dan batubara," *Jurnal Teknologi Mineral dan Batubara*, 6(4), pp. 165–173.
- Pratama, B. E., Sibarani, D. and Puspandaru, A. (2011) *Ekstraksi nikel dari bijih nikel laterit melalui proses pelindian dengan memanfaatkan bakteri*. Institut Teknologi Bandung. Available at: [http://pkm.mfkasim.com/files\\_upload/PKM-GT.pdf-120115133956.pdf](http://pkm.mfkasim.com/files_upload/PKM-GT.pdf-120115133956.pdf).
- Pusat Sumber Daya Geologi (2014) *Laporan akhir pemutakhiran data dan neraca sumber daya mineral*. Bandung.
- Rusdiana, S. and Martono, B. (2014) "Analisis finansial diversifikasi usaha perkebunan kakao rakyat dan ternak kambing di tingkat petani," *Jurnal SIRINOV*, 2(3), pp. 157–170. Available at: [file:///C:/Users/Bachtiar/AppData/Local/Temp/2.analisis finansial diversifikasi usaha perkebunan.pdf](file:///C:/Users/Bachtiar/AppData/Local/Temp/2.analisis%20finansial%20diversifikasi%20usaha%20perkebunan.pdf).
- Subagja, R., Prasetyo, A. B. and Mayangsari, W. (2016) "Peningkatan kadar nikel dalam laterit jenis limonit dengan cara peletisasi, pemanggangan reduksi dan pemisahan magnet campuran bijih, dan Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>," *Jurnal Metalurgi*, 31(2), pp. 103–115. doi: 10.14203/mtl.v31i2.171.